Neurostimulation to improve level of consciousness in patients with epilepsy

*Abhijeet Gummadavelli, BA,¹ Adam J. Kundishora, AB,¹ Jon T. Willie, MD, PhD,²
John P. Andrews, BA,¹ Jason L. Gerrard, MD, PhD,¹ Dennis D. Spencer, MD,¹ and
Hal Blumenfeld, MD, PhD^{1,3,4}

Departments of ¹Neurosurgery, ³Neurology, and ⁴Neurobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut; and ²Departments of Neurosurgery and Neurology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia

When drug-resistant epilepsy is poorly localized or surgical resection is contraindicated, current neurostimulation strategies such as deep brain stimulation and vagal nerve stimulation can palliate the frequency or severity of seizures. However, despite medical and neuromodulatory therapy, a significant proportion of patients continue to experience disabling seizures that impair awareness, causing disability and risking injury or sudden unexplained death. We propose a novel strategy in which neuromodulation is used not only to reduce seizures but also to ameliorate impaired consciousness when the patient is in the ictal and postictal states. Improving or preventing alterations in level of consciousness may have an effect on morbidity (e.g., accidents, drownings, falls), risk for death, and quality of life. Recent studies may have elucidated underlying networks and mechanisms of impaired consciousness and yield potential novel targets for neurostimulation. The feasibility, benefits, and pitfalls of potential deep brain stimulation targets are illustrated in human and animal studies involving minimally conscious/vegetative states, movement disorders, depth of anesthesia, sleep-wake regulation, and epilepsy. We review evidence that viable therapeutic targets for impaired consciousness associated with seizures may be provided by key nodes of the consciousness system in the brainstem reticular activating system, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, thalamus, and basal forebrain.

<http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2015.3.FOCUS1535>

KEY WORDS level of consciousness; arousal; epilepsy; deep brain stimulation; DBS

OF the nearly 3 million patients with epilepsy, an estimated one quarter have medically refractory seizures (i.e., they continue to have disabling seizures). Refractory seizures significantly decrease safety, quality of life, and productivity, and they increase social stigma.^{73,93} The highest chance of cure lies with resection or ablation of epileptic foci; established Level I evidence exists only for open temporal lobe surgery for temporal lobe epilepsy.⁹⁷ For a variety of reasons, however, only a small percentage of epilepsy patients undergo resection. Alternative approaches to ameliorate the effects of seizures could still profoundly benefit patients for whom resection is not feasible or not desirable. Such patients include the following: 1) those with generalized or poorly localized epilepsy; 2) those whose seizures are localized within deep or eloquent regions, posing higher risk for neurocognitive decline after resection; 3) those who do not remain free of seizures despite technically successful

resection; and/or 4) those with significant other medical conditions that preclude resection.

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a mainstream therapy for several movement disorders and neuropsychiatric conditions. Likewise, neurostimulatory approaches are increasingly being recognized as safe and potentially effective for limiting seizure initiation or propagation. Multiple studies have shown constant (open-loop) and responsive (closed-loop) stimulation of deep and/or cortical brain sites to be modestly effective.^{27,29,41,47,49,60,71} Notably, recent pivotal trials demonstrated improved seizure control after DBS of the anterior nucleus of the thalamus (Stimulation of Anterior Nucleus of Thalamus for Epilepsy trial) and DBS of neocortical or mesial temporal structures (Responsive Neurostimulation trial).^{27,60} To date, however, such studies have not addressed whether neurostimulation might specifically restore an impaired level of consciousness when the patient is in the ictal or postictal states. Minimizing the

ABBREVIATIONS DBS = deep brain stimulation; EEG = electroencephalography; GABA = gamma-aminobutyric acid; REM = rapid eye movement.

SUBMITTED January 31, 2015. **ACCEPTED** March 11, 2015.

INCLUDE WHEN CITING DOI: 10.3171/2015.3.FOCUS1535.

DISCLOSURE This work was supported by Howard Hughes Medical Institutes—Citizens United for Research in Epilepsy Medical Student Fellowships (to A.G. and A.K.), NIH R01 NS066974 (to H.B.), R21 NS083783 (to H.B.), the Loughridge-Williams Foundation, and by the Betsy and Jonathan Blattmachr Family. Dr. Willie is a consultant for Monteris Medical and MRI Interventions. Dr. Gerard is a consultant for Medtronic.

* Mr. Gummadavelli and Mr. Kundishora contributed equally to this work.

effects of seizures on consciousness or enhancing cognition in the postictal brain presents unique challenges for scientific exploration and fertile opportunities for novel therapies, possibly by DBS or related neuromodulatory strategies.

For patients for whom seizure prevention is neither surgically nor medically feasible, mitigating or preventing the alterations in consciousness that occur during seizures would profoundly improve safety and lifestyle. The previously tested utility of DBS for treating disorders of consciousness makes it an exciting modality for approaching a broad range of therapeutic indications as well as for scientific discovery.

Plum and Posner's bidimensional model of consciousness dichotomizes consciousness into level and content, of which level of consciousness includes arousal, attention, and awareness.⁷⁰ These facets are correlated with an underlying anatomical consciousness network that includes the upper brainstem reticular activating system, medial thalamus, basal forebrain, and frontoparietal association cortices.⁸ Recent advances in understanding the mechanisms by which different types of seizures impair consciousness suggest disruption of essential nodes in the consciousness network.^{8,20}

Interacting with the networks from which level of consciousness emerges are absence, generalized tonic-clonic, and complex partial seizures. Absence seizures are associated with transient loss of awareness associated with a cortical electroencephalography (EEG) spike-and-wave pattern mediated by a thalamocortical circuit from the reticular nucleus to the medial frontal and parietal cortex.^{4,5,94} Partial seizures, although associated with focal onset, can impair awareness by disrupting wider fronto-temporal networks and can maintain impaired levels of consciousness by activating deep inhibitory nuclei, such as the lateral septum and anterior hypothalamus, which in turn depress the reticular activating system—a tenet central to the network-inhibition hypothesis (Fig. 1).^{8,10,21,22,65} Primary or secondary generalized tonic-clonic seizures are thought to involve not only inhibition of subcortical arousal nuclei but also simultaneous disruption of widespread networks involving both hemispheres because of seizure propagation. Generalized seizures are most associated with profound consciousness deficits and a prolonged postictal state.^{8,9,23}

The effect of electrical stimulation on the complex neuronal environment is not clearly understood. As the fundamental object of numerous technologies and therapies, such understanding is necessary for better network targeting, outcome efficacy, and side-effect control. The parameters that influence DBS results include location, frequency, pulse width, amplitude, temporal patterns (e.g., continuous, intermittent cycling, or responsive), electrode factors (e.g., size, shape, impedance), and stimulation field (e.g., monopolar, bipolar, multipolar).

Competing hypotheses about the mechanistic effects of DBS include axonal activation, local inhibition, network oscillation disruption, and effects on astrocytes.² Physiological studies have shown that axons are the earliest elements to depolarize, which leads to action potentials.¹⁶ To test stimulation effects of axonal activation versus local in-

hibitory circuitry, one study measured cortical activation after a DBS target injection of ibotenic acid, which selectively destroys cell bodies without affecting passing axons, and muscimol, a gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-A receptor agonist.³⁷ At low stimulation frequencies, use of muscimol mimicked the DBS effect, suggesting activation of local GABAergic networks leading to inhibitory effect of DBS. At high stimulation frequencies, use of ibotenic acid did not impair distant cortical effects of DBS despite loss of target area cell bodies.³⁷ Additionally, axonal excitation probably proceeds in both orthodromic and antidromic directions.⁴⁵ Other studies have suggested that disturbance of network oscillations, rather than excitation or inhibition, plays a role as the putative mechanism based on dissociation of input and output signals.¹⁶ Astroglia might also play a significant role in the mechanism of DBS by modulating and amplifying the effect of stimulation on local principal and interneuron populations.²⁴ The uncertainty surrounding the DBS mechanism of action argues for a dual approach in which putative therapeutic targets are continually pursued in human patients while electrical and optogenetic stimulation in animal models help to refine DBS parameters.

Here we review the brain structures involved in networks that modulate or maintain level of consciousness and discuss the clinical and translational literature pertaining to potential sites of stimulation in the brainstem, hypothalamus, basal ganglia, thalamus, and basal forebrain. Incorporating studies of DBS for consequences of traumatic brain injury, movement disorders, epilepsy, and others disorders, we suggest that related targets may prove to be beneficial in the context of impaired consciousness in patients with epilepsy (Table 1). The mechanisms underlying how electrical stimulation via a wide spectrum of parameters can act on specific brain circuits to produce clinical effects are complex, incompletely understood, and ultimately beyond the scope of this review.

Upper Brainstem

Notable brainstem nuclei associated with level of arousal, particularly those in the reticular activating system, have been the subject of study since the early 1950s. Stimulation in the reticular activating system was found to desynchronize cortical EEG patterns and abolish high-amplitude slow waves in anesthetized patients.⁶¹ However, the relative contributions of subsequently discovered nuclei in the networks underpinning the level of consciousness remain uncertain. Among the best studied, stimulation in the region of the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus has been shown to play a dual role in postural stability, probably resulting from glutamatergic efferents⁴⁶ and cognitive functions including rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, resulting from cholinergic efferents.^{66,72,81} Its consideration and subsequent exploration as a DBS target in patients with Parkinson disease has yielded mixed results; however, for some patients, improvements in attentiveness have been reported.⁸¹ Improvement in cognitive, rather than motor, domains may result in part from the regulatory effects of pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus DBS on sleep architecture (Table 1).⁶⁸ Nevertheless, low-

FIG. 1. Network inhibition hypothesis for loss of consciousness in patients during partial seizures. **A:** Normal conditions. The upper brainstem–diencephalic activating systems interact with the cerebral cortex to maintain normal consciousness (orange arrows). **B:** A focal seizure involving the mesial temporal lobe unilaterally (red area). **C:** Propagation of seizure activity from the mesial temporal lobe to midline subcortical structures (red arrow pointing to midline red area). **D:** Disruption of the normal activating functions of the midline subcortical structures (blue arrows), together with the resulting depressed activity in bilateral regions of the frontoparietal association cortex (blue areas), which leads to loss of consciousness. Copyright Hal Blumenfeld. Published with permission.

frequency stimulation may enhance patient alertness and high-frequency stimulation may promote sleep. Each phenomenon may represent overlapping but distinct network effects (Table 1).^{3,25} Interpatient targeting variability and the uncertainty of DBS mechanisms might limit the interpretation of such preliminary findings.⁵⁵ However, animal experiments that used optogenetic stimulation of cholinergic efferents from the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus have confirmed its role in arousal on the basis of cortical desynchronization during and after temporal lobe seizures (Table 1).³³ Improved human pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus electrode targeting and stimulation techniques may improve its potential as a target for arousal.⁵⁹

Stimulation experiments point to a number of other brainstem nuclei as having the capacity to produce alertness, including the ventral tegmental area, the locus coeruleus, and the pontine reticular nucleus oralis. In rats, electrical stimulation of the ventral tegmental area induces reanimation during continuous anesthetization with propofol (Table 1).⁸⁰ Similarly, in anesthetized rats, unilateral stimulation of the locus coeruleus showed bilateral desynchronization in the medial prefrontal cortex (Table 1).⁵³ This study measured responses in the medial prefrontal cortex on the order of 1 second, indicating a norepinephrine-dependent switch in cortical state toward

information processing.⁵³ Optogenetic stimulation of the locus coeruleus indicated that temporal characteristics of stimulation altered the resultant arousal effects.¹³ Vagus nerve stimulation, a widely available neuromodulatory device that modestly reduces seizure frequency in appropriately selected patients, putatively acts via activation of the locus coeruleus and/or nucleus tractus solitarius, and the device has been noted to improve arousal in patients with epilepsy.⁴⁴

Stimulation of the pontine reticular nucleus oralis in a lightly anesthetized patient has also been shown to increase cortical desynchronization with increased poststimulation functional connectivity to basal forebrain–paralimbic structures such as the nucleus basalis of Meynert, central-medial nucleus of the thalamus, retrosplenium, and caudate/putamen (Table 1).⁶⁹ Preliminary data from studies of rats show robust cortical desynchronization after bilateral electrical stimulation of the pontine reticular nucleus oralis during and after partial seizures (Table 1) (A. Kundishora, personal communication, 2015). These diverse brainstem regions provide but a few potential DBS targets for enhancing consciousness in patients with epilepsy.

Hypothalamus

Since the early twentieth century, when naturally oc-

TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness

Authors & Year	Target	Species	No. of Patients	Stim Type	Uni- vs Bilateral	HFS/ LFS	Freq Hz	Amp	Pulse Width	Duration	State	Findings & Comments
Upper brainstem												
Arnulf et al., 2010	PPT	Human	2	Electrical	Uni- + bilat	LFS/ HFS	10–25 Hz/80 Hz	2.7–2.8 V/ 0.8–1.5 V	60 µsec	5 mins on, 3 mins off	Awake	During LFS, patients reported increased alertness. HFS caused acute-onset sleepiness & episodes of REM sleep.
Peppe et al., 2012	PPT	Human	5	Electrical	Unilat	LFS	25 Hz	1.8–2.2 V	60 µsec	Continuous vs night-time	Awake & sleep	PPT + STN stimulation improved daytime sleepiness, nocturnal restlessness, & nocturnal psychosis compared w/ STN stimulation alone.
Furman et al., 2013	PPT	Rat	NR	Optogenetic	Unilat	LFS*	5–40 Hz	20–60 mW/mm ²	1–60 msec	10 sec	Ictal	ChrR2 stimulation of PPT efferents caused cortical desynchronization during seizure.
Solt et al., 2014	VTA	Rat	5	Electrical	Unilat	HFS	100 Hz	30–120 µAmp	NR	30 sec on, 30 sec off, 3 mins	Anesthesia (isoflurane)	Stimulation of VTA caused increase in beta (12–30 Hz) EEG & induced reanimation from anesthesia.
Marzo et al., 2014	LC	Rat	6	Electrical	Bilat	LFS	20–50 Hz	30–50 µAmp	400 µsec	50–200 msec	Anesthesia (urethane)	Pulse train stimulations caused mPFC desynchronization, increased power in bands >20 Hz, & decreased delta band power (0–4 Hz).
Pillay et al., 2014	PnO	Rat	9	Electrical	Unilat	HFS	300 Hz	5–7 V	100 µsec	3 sec on, 57 sec off	Anesthesia (isoflurane)	PnO stimulation caused cortical desynchronization & decreased delta band power. Increase in FC was seen w/ NBM, central medial thalamus, retrosplenium, caudate, & putamen stimulation.
A. Kundishora, personal communication, 2015	PnO	Rat	9	Electrical	Bilat	LFS	50 Hz	30–75 µAmp	500 µsec	120 sec	Light anesthesia (ketamine) ictal + postictal	Stimulation in PnO caused cortical desynchronization during deep anesthesia & during & after electrically triggered seizures (under light anesthesia) w/ decrease in delta band power.

(continued)

TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness (continued)

Authors & Year	Target	Species	No. of Patients	Stim Type	Uni- vs Bilateral	HFS/ LFS	Freq	Amp	Pulse Width	Duration	State	Findings & Comments
Hypothalamus												
Nishida et al., 2007	TMN	Rat	6–12	Electrical	Uni- + bilat	HFS	100 Hz	80–150 μ Amp	300 μ sec	30 mins; 10 sec on, 10 sec off	Anesthesia (urethane)	Protected against seizure occurrence in a histamine-1-receptor-dependent manner in a PTZ epilepsy model. Stimulation was at 150 μ Amp for unilat & 80 μ Amp for bilat.
Wu et al., 2008	TMN	Rat	8–10	Electrical	Uni- + bilat	LFS/ HFS	1 Hz/ 100 Hz	100–200 μ Amp	100 μ sec	Continuous/ 3–15 mins	Awake	LSF facilitated amygdaloid-kindling-induced epileptogenesis. Findings for HFS were similar.
Blik et al., 2015	TMN	Rat	5	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	100 Hz	68–88 μ Amp	300 μ sec	Continuous	Sleep & awake	In WAG/Rij rat epileptic model, open-loop DBS at 70% of threshold stimulation reduced number of spike-wave discharges & altered animals' sleep cycles by increasing wakefulness.
Franzini et al., 2008	PH	Human	2	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	100–185 Hz	1.5–3.0 V	90 μ sec	Continuous	Awake	Decreased seizure activity & pathologically disruptive behavior in 2 patients w/ refractory multifocal epilepsy.
Franzini et al., 2013	PH	Human	7	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	185 Hz	1–3 V	60–90 μ sec	Continuous	Awake	Treatment was effective for aggressive behavior & possibly had simultaneous antiseizure effects.
Whiting et al., 2013	LH	Human	3	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	185 Hz	1–7 V	90 μ sec	12–14 hrs, daily	Awake	All 3 patients reported increased arousal & activity when contact 3 was stimulated (most superior/ superficial aspect of LH).
Basal ganglia												
Moll et al., 2009	GPI	Human	1	Electrical	Unilat	HFS	130 Hz	0.5–0.7 V	60 μ sec	2–3 mins	Anesthesia (propofol)	Unilat stimulation of GPI in an anesthetized patient w/ cervical dystonia induced a state of wakeful unawareness.
Fimm et al., 2009	STN	Human	13	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	130–185 Hz	1.4–4.2 V	60–90 μ sec	Continuous	Awake	Reaction time was decreased in Parkinson disease patients after bilat STN stimulation.

(continued)

TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness (*continued*)

Authors & Year	Target	Species	No. of Patients	Stim Type	Uni- vs Bilateral	HFS/ LFS	Freq	Amp	Pulse Width	Duration	State	Findings & Comments
Thalamus												
Fisher et al., 1992	CM	Human	7	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	65 Hz	Variable	95 msec	2 hrs/day; 1 min on, 4 mins off	Awake	Nonstatistically significant decrease in seizure frequency (30% vs 8%); however, after 24 hrs of continuous DBS, decrease in seizure frequency rose to 50%.
Velasco et al., 1995	CM	Human	5	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	60 Hz	440–790 μ Amp	0.09–1 msec	1 min for 2 hr/day	Awake	CM stimulation dramatically reduced seizure frequency; was most effective for generalized tonic-clonic seizures but did not change frequency of complex-partial seizures.
Yamamoto et al., 2005	CM-Pf	Human	21 VS; 5 MCS	Electrical	Unilat	LFS	25 Hz	Variable	NR	30 mins every 2–3 hrs	VS/MCS	8/21 VS patients were able to follow verbal commands, 4/5 MCS patients were no longer bedridden, according to 10 yrs of follow-up.
Valentín et al., 2012	CM	Human	1	Electrical	Bilat	LFS	6 Hz	6 V	90 μ sec	Variable	Status epilepticus	Reduced myoclonic jerks w/ resolution of EEG epileptiform discharges in patient w/ refractory SE.
Schiff et al., 2007	CL	Human	1	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	100 Hz	4 V	90 μ sec	Continuous	MCS	Central thalamic stimulation improved ratings in revised Coma Recovery Scale for patient in minimally conscious state 6 yrs after a traumatic brain injury.
Shirvalkar et al., 2006	CL	Rat	5–10	Electrical	Unilat	HFS	100 Hz	1.5 mAmp	50 μ sec	30 mins	Awake	Increased object recognition memory, arousal-related exploratory motor behavior, & goal-directed seeking behaviors in rats w/ CL stimulation.
Gummadavelli et al., 2014	CL	Rat	8–12 (terminal group) 3 (chronic group)	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	100 Hz	400 μ Amp	500 μ sec	20 sec	Postictal anesthesia (ketamine)	Stimulation after electrically induced seizure, ketamine-xylazine anesthesia, or spontaneous sleep decreased low-frequency power & increased spontaneous exploratory behaviors.

(continued)

TABLE 1. Selected stimulation experiments, organized by brain region, that show improved level of consciousness (*continued*)

Authors & Year	Target	Species	No. of Patients	Stim Type	Uni- vs Bilateral	HFS/ LFS	Freq	Amp	Pulse Width	Duration	State	Findings & Comments
<i>Thalamus (continued)</i>												
Mair & Hembrook, 2008	rILN	Rat	20	Electrical	Bilat	HFS	120 Hz	Variable	200 μ sec	2 sec	Awake	Low-current stimulation improved delayed matching-to-position working memory task when applied in memory delay or choice phases, indicating role in memory retrieval.
<i>Basal forebrain</i>												
Freund et al., 2009	NBM	Human	1	Electrical	Bilat	LFS	20 Hz	1 V	120 μ sec	Continuous	Awake	Bilat electrical stimulation of the NBM caused significant improvement in cognitive tasks. STN stimulation alone showed no improvement. Patient underwent cognitive decline 24 hrs after cessation of NBM stimulation.
Han et al., 2014	Ch-BF	Mouse	5	Optogenetic	Unilat	LFS*	10 & 20 Hz	0.5–1.5 mW	30 msec	1–15 sec every 1 min for 1 hr	Slow-wave sleep	Photostimulation induced a decrease in slow-wave & increase in theta-wave EEG activity & increased total time spent in the awake state.

Amp = amplitude; Ch-BF = cholinergic basal forebrain; Chr2 = channelrhodopsin; CL = central lateral thalamus; CM = centromedian thalamus; CM-Pf = centromedian–parafascicular thalamus; FC = functional connectivity; freq = frequency; GPI = globus pallidus interna; HFS = high-frequency stimulation; LC = locus coeruleus; LH = lateral hypothalamus; LFS = low-frequency stimulation; MCS = minimally conscious state; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; NBM = nucleus basalis of Meynert; NR = not reported; PH = posterior hypothalamus; PoO = pontine nucleus oralis; PPT = pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus; PTZ = pentylenetetrazol; rILN = rostral intralaminar thalamus; SE = status epilepticus; stim = stimulation; STN = subthalamic nucleus; TMN = tuberomammillary nucleus; VS = vegetative state; VTA = ventral tegmental area.

* Mechanism of optogenetic stimulation ensures neuronal depolarization, regardless of frequency.

curing hypothalamic lesions were paradoxically associated with either narcolepsy or insomnia, the hypothalamus has been theorized to be a key effector of arousal and sleep regulation.⁷⁴ Since then, the antagonistic relationship between the sleep-promoting anterior hypothalamus and arousal centers in the posterior hypothalamus, basal forebrain, and brainstem has been elucidated.^{1,74} It has been hypothesized that mutual inhibition among distinct neuronal populations in the hypothalamus acts as a neural switch that transitions the brain between aroused and sedated states.^{74,75} Sleep-promoting neurons in the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus have inhibitory GABAergic and galanergic projections to the wake-promoting orexin/hypocretin neurons of the lateral hypothalamus and the histaminergic neurons of the tuberomammillary nucleus in the posterior hypothalamus. In turn, orexin/hypocretin and histamine have inhibitory effects on the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus; the tuberomammillary nucleus sends direct projections to the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus in addition to its diffuse cortical targets.^{17,74} Chemical inhibition of the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus with dexmedetomidine induces electrophysiological desynchronization and behavioral arousal from isoflurane anesthesia.⁵⁶ DBS targeting the lateral hypothalamus has been tried as a treatment for refractory obesity in 3 patients; in addition to augmenting the resting metabolic rate, 1 of the stimulated contacts consistently increased arousal (Table 1).⁹⁶

As the major seat of histaminergic neurons in the brain, the tuberomammillary nucleus is involved in regulation of sleep/wakefulness and states of consciousness and, as such, is a putative site for stimulation.^{36,51,67} Furthermore, different frequencies of tuberomammillary nucleus stimulation in animal experiments have shown either pro- or antiseizure effects, suggesting a complex relationship between the tuberomammillary nucleus and seizures. High-frequency (100 Hz) stimulation of the tuberomammillary nucleus in a pentylenetetrazol model of epilepsy protected against seizure occurrence in a histamine-1 receptor-dependent manner (Table 1).⁶³ A subsequent study found that low-frequency (1 Hz) stimulation of the tuberomammillary nucleus and bilateral tuberomammillary nucleus lesions facilitated amygdaloid kindling-induced epileptogenesis, although low-frequency stimulation had no effect on pentylenetetrazol-kindled seizures (Table 1).⁹⁸ In conflict with prior results, that study concluded that high-frequency stimulation also facilitated progression of amygdaloid-kindled seizures, indicating that differences in animal model preparations and high-frequency stimulation parameters (pulse width and stimulation duration) may have led to disparate results.⁹⁸ Most recently, tuberomammillary nucleus stimulation in a WAG/Rij rat model of absence epilepsy concluded that open-loop 100-Hz stimulation reduced the number of spike-wave discharges and altered the animals' sleep cycle by increasing active wakefulness (Table 1).⁷

Few human studies document stimulation of the posterior hypothalamus, of which the tuberomammillary nucleus is a subnucleus. For 2 patients, posterior hypothalamic DBS successfully treated refractory multifocal epilepsy by decreasing seizure activity as well as pathologically disruptive behavior (Table 1)³¹; this location has since been stimulated to treat aggressive behavior disorders in 5 ad-

ditional patients and possibly had simultaneous antiseizure effects (Table 1).³⁰ These data are complementary to data from recent human trials of posterior hypothalamic stimulation for the treatment of cluster headaches that report prolonged periods of wakefulness and disrupted sleep after DBS.⁴⁸

Viewed in the context of these studies, the posterior and lateral hypothalamus could have a therapeutic role in epilepsy by affecting seizure frequency and impaired consciousness. Further data are needed regarding the effect of tuberomammillary nucleus DBS on histamine release.¹⁵ DBS to these locations may alter vegetative functions such as sleep and appetite. Such side effects could conceivably be mitigated by varying stimulation parameters over the day-night cycle.

Basal Ganglia

The role of basal ganglia stimulation (e.g., stimulation of the globus pallidus interna or subthalamic nucleus) is well recognized as a treatment for Parkinson disease and other movement disorders. However, evidence analyzing the association of these regions with DBS-driven alertness and conscious states is sparse. Many studies of subthalamic nucleus DBS in patients with Parkinson disease debate postsurgical decline in cognition and verbal fluency.^{14,39,42,101,102} Among these studies, alertness was not directly evaluated by use of consistent measures. When alertness was measured by use of a reaction-time task, reaction time was decreased in Parkinson disease patients who had undergone bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation versus those who had undergone sham stimulation (Table 1).²⁶ Results of studies that have stimulated both the subthalamic nucleus and another arousal site indicate that the observed improvement in reaction time may be a motor phenomenon rather than improved attentiveness.^{32,68}

The globus pallidus interna has functional connections to the arousal systems of the basal forebrain. Unilateral stimulation of the globus pallidus interna in an anesthetized patient with cervical dystonia induced a state of wakeful unawareness (Table 1).⁵⁸ This report by Moll et al. exemplifies that measuring changes in alertness, arousal, or consciousness across DBS implantation locations and indications could contribute to understanding the role of the basal ganglia in arousal networks.

Thalamus

The intralaminar nuclei have long been the predominant thalamic nuclei implicated in arousal and attention for patients in various physiological (sleep) and pathological (posttrauma/stroke coma, vegetative, minimally conscious) states.⁸⁵ Numerous historical experiments with electrical stimulation of the "nonspecific" intralaminar thalamus noted behavioral and electrophysiological markers of arousal from sleep⁹⁵ and trauma-induced coma.^{40,57} Studies, however, were limited by low patient sample sizes and imprecise stimulation-site targeting. In 1 patient experiencing "subcoma" from medial midbrain and upper brainstem infarction, for whom electrode location was verified via postmortem histology, electrical stimulation of the "thalamic unspecific activating system" (left nucleus

reticularis polaris thalami) induced immediate signs of arousal for prolonged periods.⁸² These findings, however, have not since been investigated in animal or human studies.

More recent trials of stimulation in the centromedian and rostral intralaminar thalamic nuclei yielded optimistic results in the context of vegetative and minimally conscious states; however, application was limited. Initial studies suggested improvement of nearly 50% of patients from a vegetative state after chronic centromedian–pars fascicularis stimulation⁸³; later studies with increased sample sizes found greater recovery from a minimally conscious state (Table 1).¹⁰⁰ A major limitation of these studies was absence of an effective control group to show that the behavioral improvements were not the result of spontaneous improvement, which is known to occur at varying rates for patients in vegetative and minimally conscious states.⁵⁰ In an attempt to address this issue, analysis of electrophysiological features between a larger cohort of patients who did and did not receive chronic centromedian–pars fascicularis DBS was performed.⁹⁹ However, a complete lack of any recovery among those who did not receive chronic stimulation suggested the possibility of selection bias, whereby patients for whom expected clinical outcome was better were chosen for the procedure.⁹⁹

In contrast to the numerous centromedian–pars fascicularis DBS trials, few human or animal studies have experimentally stimulated the rostral intralaminar nuclei. In rats, electrical stimulation of the rostral intralaminar nuclei has led to improved visual object recognition, arousal behaviors, and memory retrieval (Table 1).^{52,79} According to a report of a case for which multiple internal controls were used, a patient who had been in a minimally conscious state for 6 years showed functional improvement in numerous components of the revised Coma Recovery Scale, including arousal, after bilateral high-frequency central thalamic stimulation targeting the central lateral nucleus (Table 1).^{76,77} It has been hypothesized that anterior forebrain network integrity was essential to the results noted in this case.⁷⁸ Additional work with this approach is forthcoming and should be pursued in future studies.

Although studies investigating intralaminar thalamic DBS and level of consciousness in patients with epilepsy are rare, this procedure shows promise. Numerous early studies of humans suggested mixed results of chronic centromedian nucleus stimulation to reduce seizure frequency (Table 1).^{28,86,87,90,91} In some cases, increased alertness as a side effect of long-term stimulation was noted.⁸⁹ Of note, low-frequency stimulation of the centromedian nucleus increased cortical slowing and spike-and-wave activity and was associated behaviorally with lip smacking and unresponsiveness, but high-frequency stimulation was associated with cortical EEG desynchronization.⁸⁸ For 1 patient, bilateral centromedian nucleus stimulation interrupted refractory status epilepticus (Table 1).⁸⁴ However, this patient remained in a persistent vegetative state despite stimulation, suggesting anatomical or functional disruption to the arousal network, or refuting prior evidence that centromedian–pars fascicularis DBS has a beneficial effect on level of consciousness.

Rostral intralaminar thalamic stimulation has not been

attempted in human patients with epilepsy. However, in an animal model of temporal lobe seizure, neuroimaging, electrophysiological, and neurochemical data demonstrated decreased intralaminar thalamic functional MRI signal during and after complex partial seizures, decreased firing of cholinergic neurons in the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus and basal forebrain, and decreased concentrations of choline in the intralaminar thalamus and cortex.⁶² Another study that used this model provided evidence that bilateral high-frequency central lateral thalamic stimulation improved electrophysiological markers and spontaneous exploratory behaviors during the postictal period (Table 1).³⁵ Noting the importance of specific neuromodulatory systems, optogenetic excitatory stimulation of efferents from the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus to the intralaminar thalamus dramatically reduced cortical slowing during complex partial seizures.³³ Combined, these preclinical studies support activation of the intralaminar thalamus as a promising neurosurgical target for improving level of consciousness during and after seizures.

Basal Forebrain

Anatomically, the basal forebrain includes cholinergic subcortical structures such as the substantia innominata, the vertical and horizontal limbs of the diagonal band of Broca, the medial septum and nucleus basalis of Meynert, and the dopaminergic ventral pallidum–nucleus accumbens nuclei. In parallel with the central thalamus, the basal forebrain also receives input from nuclei of the reticular activating system.¹⁹ A substantial amount of literature about cognition in dementia and sleep physiology reports that the basal forebrain plays an integral role in arousal. For example, in a dog model of narcolepsy, cholinergic activation altered the level of arousal as well as muscle tone opposite to that of control dogs, suggesting a close link between REM sleep and arousal.⁶⁴ Similarly, chemical stimulation of the cholinergic basal forebrain with neurotensin increased EEG desynchronization, which correlated behaviorally with increased wakefulness, paradoxical/REM sleep, and loss of slow-wave sleep.¹¹ Optogenetic activation of cholinergic basal forebrain neurons was sufficient to alter the cortical state from slow-wave sleep, but not REM sleep, to wakefulness and prolonged poststimulation arousal (Table 1).^{38,43} DBS of the cholinergic basal forebrain nucleus basalis of Meynert has been proposed for treatment of dementia because of the cellular loss noted in the basal forebrain and subsequent decline in cortical acetylcholine.³⁴ In a patient with Parkinson-dementia syndrome, stimulation of the nucleus basalis and subthalamic nucleus induced improvements in concentration, alertness, and motivation as well as motor symptoms; stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus alone improved motor symptoms only (Table 1).³²

Further evidence shows that impaired arousal of patients in the ictal and postictal states involves decreased activity in the basal forebrain caused by lack of multiple neuromodulatory drives. The output of the nucleus basalis is influenced by noradrenergic and cholinergic inputs to result in cortical desynchronization.^{6,19,33} In a temporal lobe seizure model of epilepsy, decreased firing of cholin-

FIG. 2. Anatomical localizations of key nuclei thought to play a role in level of consciousness. See text for references. ACh = acetylcholine; CL = central lateral; CM-Pf = centromedian-parafascicular thalamus; FC = functional connectivity; GPe = globus pallidus externa; GPi = globus pallidus interna; LC = locus coeruleus; LO = lateral orbitofrontal cortex; MCS minimally conscious state; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; MR = midbrain raphe; NA = nucleus accumbens; NBM = nucleus basalis of Meynert; NE = norepinephrine; NTS = nucleus tractus solitarius; PAG = periaqueductal gray matter; PFC = prefrontal cortex; PH = posterior hypothalamus; PnO = pontine nucleus oralis; PPT = pedunculopontine tegmental area; PRF = pontine reticular formation; PTZ = pentylenetetrazol; RAS = reticular activating system; SC = superior colliculus; SNR = substantia nigra reticularis; STN = subthalamic nucleus; SWS = slow-wave sleep; TBI = traumatic brain injury; TMN = tubulomammillary nucleus; VS = vegetative state; VTA = ventral tegmental area.

ergic neurons in the nucleus basalis during seizures impaired consciousness in the same fashion as cholinergic neurons in the pedunculopontine tegmental area.⁶² This finding parallels findings of decreased serotonergic neuronal firing in the raphe, which also innervates the nucleus basalis, in the same animal model of partial seizures.¹⁰³

Summary and Conclusions

We reviewed DBS targets that might have therapeutic potential for patients who experience deficits in consciousness after seizures (Table 1). There is evidence that in the upper brainstem, stimulation of the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus leads to improvements in attentiveness and changes in sleep architecture. Stimulation studies of anesthetized patients indicate that alertness might be regulated by the ventral tegmental area, locus coeruleus, and upper brainstem reticular formation including the pontine nucleus oralis. Another area of interest is the tuberomammillary nucleus of the posterior hypothalamus; its stimulation protected against seizure occurrence and increased active wakefulness. In addition, increased wakefulness has also been reported after tuberomammillary nucleus DBS in humans. It should be noted, however, that stimulation of the orexin efferents from the lateral hypothalamus may also contribute to the observed wakefulness. In the basal ganglia, DBS of the subthalamic nucleus and the globus pallidus interna is already widely used to treat movement disorders; however, data regarding effects on level of consciousness are limited, given the broad clinical use of these procedures, suggesting avenues for future inquiry. The intralaminar thalamic nuclei are attractive targets because of their surgical accessibility and prior applications in patients with epilepsy and a minimally conscious state; bilateral central thalamic DBS in a minimally conscious patient improved features of arousal. In an animal model, bilateral central lateral thalamic stimulation reversed postictal cortical slowing and behavioral freezing.³⁵ Last, the cholinergic basal forebrain nuclei are clinically feasible and novel targets for improving level of consciousness. Stimulation increases arousal in animal models of disordered sleep and has improved alertness in a patient with dementia, while also proving to be safe. However, no prior studies have been conducted with regard to seizures or epilepsy.

The many anatomical regions implicated in level of consciousness imply parallel networks with a currently unknown degree of integration. The interaction of these targets also highlights the convergence and cross-communication among 5 major neuromodulatory systems that are involved in supporting the level of consciousness. DBS target selection for patients with impaired consciousness during seizures should be approached as a complex problem; optimal targeting for any particular patient will probably vary according to epilepsy and seizure classification. The understanding of how seizure types interact with the consciousness network may inform the targeting decisions.

DBS in arousal-related nuclei may decrease the risk for sudden unexplained death in patients with epilepsy.¹⁰³ Recent studies have indicated that dual deficits in dysregulated consciousness and breathing while in the ictal and

postictal states may underlie this risk.^{54,103} For example, chemical stimulation of the region within the medullary raphe, one of the serotonergic nuclei known to participate in arousal and respiratory control, produced prolonged apnea.⁹² The medullary raphe was found to have multiple afferents from thermoregulatory, cardiovascular, nociceptive, and respiratory centers, indicating its integrative role in airway protection.⁹² A recent study in humans suggested that stimulation of the unilateral amygdala, which receives input from a major chemosensory nuclei (nucleus tractus solitarius), also produced asymptomatic apnea.¹⁸ Although directly modulating respiratory centers may pose challenges for investigating the mechanisms of sudden death during epilepsy and its treatments, the communication between respiratory circuitry and arousal circuitry provides potential therapeutic targets.

We have proposed numerous nodes within the consciousness network that may be investigated to modulate level of consciousness in the context of epilepsy (Fig. 2). Many of these areas have been targeted for indications other than improving consciousness; therefore, levels of arousal and cognitive function have been only secondarily evaluated (Table 1). The ethical aspect of DBS use for improving consciousness is prevalent in the literature about traumatic brain injury; although outside the scope of this review, we recognize the importance of such considerations when potentially restoring awareness during a seizure. Some of these areas have been investigated in animal models only; although objective data about safety in humans for DBS in these nuclei are scarce, the anatomical locations of these areas are in close proximity to more frequently targeted areas. In addition to the dramatic improvement in quality of life that could be gained by maintaining consciousness during seizures, some data indirectly suggest that improvement in level of consciousness decreases seizure frequency in patients with multiple seizure types.⁸⁹ It is our opinion that use of DBS in these areas should be explored further with the aim of measuring level of consciousness by use of specific assessments such as the modified Coma Recovery Scale or comprehensive neuropsychiatric testing.

Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. George Richerson for graciously sharing work in review.

References

1. Adamantidis AR, Zhang F, Aravanis AM, Deisseroth K, de Lecea L: Neural substrates of awakening probed with optogenetic control of hypocretin neurons. *Nature* **450**:420–424, 2007
2. Agnesi F, Johnson MD, Vitek JL: Deep brain stimulation: how does it work? *Handb Clin Neurol* **116**:39–54, 2013
3. Arnulf I, Ferraye M, Fraix V, Benabid AL, Chabardès S, Goetz L, et al: Sleep induced by stimulation in the human pedunculopontine nucleus area. *Ann Neurol* **67**:546–549, 2010
4. Bai X, Vestal M, Berman R, Negishi M, Spann M, Vega C, et al: Dynamic time course of typical childhood absence seizures: EEG, behavior, and functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurosci* **30**:5884–5893, 2010
5. Berman R, Negishi M, Vestal M, Spann M, Chung MH, Bai

- X, et al: Simultaneous EEG, fMRI, and behavior in typical childhood absence seizures. **Epilepsia** **51**:2011–2022, 2010
6. Berridge CW, Stellick RL, Schmeichel BE: Wake-promoting actions of medial basal forebrain beta2 receptor stimulation. **Behav Neurosci** **119**:743–751, 2005
7. Blik V: Electric stimulation of the tuberomammillary nucleus affects epileptic activity and sleep-wake cycle in a genetic absence epilepsy model. **Epilepsy Res** **109**:119–125, 2015
8. Blumenfeld H: Impaired consciousness in epilepsy. **Lancet Neurol** **11**:814–826, 2012
9. Blumenfeld H, McNally KA, Vanderhill SD, Paige AL, Chung R, Davis K, et al: Positive and negative network correlations in temporal lobe epilepsy. **Cereb Cortex** **14**:892–902, 2004
10. Blumenfeld H, Taylor J: Why do seizures cause loss of consciousness? **Neuroscientist** **9**:301–310, 2003
11. Cape EG, Manns ID, Alonso A, Beaudet A, Jones BE: Neurotensin-induced bursting of cholinergic basal forebrain neurons promotes gamma and theta cortical activity together with waking and paradoxical sleep. **J Neurosci** **20**:8452–8461, 2000
12. Carballosa Gonzalez MM, Blaya MO, Alonso OF, Bramlett HM, Hentall ID: Midbrain raphe stimulation improves behavioral and anatomical recovery from fluid-percussion brain injury. **J Neurotrauma** **30**:119–130, 2013
13. Carter ME, Yizhar O, Chikahisa S, Nguyen H, Adamantidis A, Nishino S, et al: Tuning arousal with optogenetic modulation of locus coeruleus neurons. **Nat Neurosci** **13**:1526–1533, 2010
14. Castelli L, Rizzi L, Zibetti M, Angrisano S, Lanotte M, Lopiano L: Neuropsychological changes 1-year after subthalamic DBS in PD patients: A prospective controlled study. **Parkinsonism Relat Disord** **16**:115–118, 2010
15. Chang SY, Jay T, Muñoz J, Kim I, Lee KH: Wireless fast-scan cyclic voltammetry measurement of histamine using WINCS—a proof-of-principle study. **Analyst (Lond)** **137**:2158–2165, 2012
16. Chiken S, Nambu A: Disrupting neuronal transmission: mechanism of DBS? **Front Syst Neurosci** **8**:33, 2014
17. Chou TC, Bjorkum AA, Gaus SE, Lu J, Scammell TE, Saper CB: Afferents to the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus. **J Neurosci** **22**:977–990, 2002
18. Dlouhy BJ, Gehlbach BK, Kreple CJ, Kawasaki H, Oya H, Buzza C, et al: Mechanism for sudden unexpected death in epilepsy: the amygdala as a pathway to seizure-induced apnea, respiratory agnosia and sudden death. **Neurosurgery** **61** (Suppl 1):223, 2014
19. Dringenberg HC, Olmstead MC: Integrated contributions of basal forebrain and thalamus to neocortical activation elicited by pedunclopontine tegmental stimulation in urethane-anesthetized rats. **Neuroscience** **119**:839–853, 2003
20. Englot DJ, Blumenfeld H: Consciousness and epilepsy: why are complex-partial seizures complex? **Prog Brain Res** **177**:147–170, 2009
21. Englot DJ, Mishra AM, Mansuripur PK, Herman P, Hyder F, Blumenfeld H: Remote effects of focal hippocampal seizures on the rat neocortex. **J Neurosci** **28**:9066–9081, 2008
22. Englot DJ, Modi B, Mishra AM, DeSalvo M, Hyder F, Blumenfeld H: Cortical deactivation induced by subcortical network dysfunction in limbic seizures. **J Neurosci** **29**:13006–13018, 2009
23. Englot DJ, Yang L, Hamid H, Danielson N, Bai X, Marfeo A, et al: Impaired consciousness in temporal lobe seizures: role of cortical slow activity. **Brain** **133**:3764–3777, 2010
24. Fenoy AJ, Goetz L, Chabardès S, Xia Y: Deep brain stimulation: are astrocytes a key driver behind the scene? **CNS Neurosci Ther** **20**:191–201, 2014
25. Ferraye MU, Debû B, Fraix V, Krack P, Charbardès S, Seigneuret E, et al: Subthalamic nucleus versus pedunclopontine nucleus stimulation in Parkinson disease: synergy or antagonism? **J Neural Transm** **118**:1469–1475, 2011
26. Fimm B, Heber IA, Coenen VA, Fromm C, Noth J, Kronenbuerger M: Deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus improves intrinsic alertness in Parkinson's disease. **Mov Disord** **24**:1613–1620, 2009
27. Fisher R, Salanova V, Witt T, Worth R, Henry T, Gross R, et al: Electrical stimulation of the anterior nucleus of thalamus for treatment of refractory epilepsy. **Epilepsia** **51**:899–908, 2010
28. Fisher RS, Uematsu S, Krauss GL, Cysyk BJ, McPherson R, Lesser RP, et al: Placebo-controlled pilot study of centromedian thalamic stimulation in treatment of intractable seizures. **Epilepsia** **33**:841–851, 1992
29. Fisher RS, Velasco AL: Electrical brain stimulation for epilepsy. **Nat Rev Neurol** **10**:261–270, 2014
30. Franzini A, Broggi G, Cordella R, Dones I, Messina G: Deep-brain stimulation for aggressive and disruptive behavior. **World Neurosurg** **80**:S29.e11–S29.e24, 2013
31. Franzini A, Messina G, Marras C, Villani F, Cordella R, Broggi G: Deep brain stimulation of two unconventional targets in refractory non-resectable epilepsy. **Stereotact Funct Neurosurg** **86**:373–381, 2008
32. Freund HJ, Kuhn J, Lenartz D, Mai JK, Schnell T, Klosterkoetter J, et al: Cognitive functions in a patient with Parkinson-dementia syndrome undergoing deep brain stimulation. **Arch Neurol** **66**:781–785, 2009
33. Furman M, Zhan Q, Lerner BA, Meng J, Motelow JE, Li W, et al: Optogenetic stimulation of cholinergic mesopontine neurons for preventing cortical dysfunction during seizures. Presented at the **Society for Neuroscience 2013 Annual Meeting**, San Diego, California, 2013 (Poster) (<http://www.abstractsonline.com/Plan/ViewAbstract.aspx?sKey=c2f32be5-bb05-4432-88a0-f38b71f0101c&cKey=43681027-1963-43cd-9aa2-6baeb7b8f777&mKey=8d2a5bec-4825-4cd6-9439-b42bb151d1cf>)
34. Gratwicke J, Kahan J, Zrinzo L, Hariz M, Limousin P, Foltynie T, et al: The nucleus basalis of Meynert: a new target for deep brain stimulation in dementia? **Neurosci Biobehav Rev** **37**:2676–2688, 2013
35. Gummadavelli A, Motelow JE, Smith N, Zhan Q, Schiff ND, Blumenfeld H: Thalamic stimulation to improve level of consciousness after seizures: evaluation of electrophysiology and behavior. **Epilepsia** **56**:114–124, 2015
36. Haas H, Panula P: The role of histamine and the tuberomammillary nucleus in the nervous system. **Nat Rev Neurosci** **4**:121–130, 2003
37. Hamani C, Nobrega JN: Preclinical studies modeling deep brain stimulation for depression. **Biol Psychiatry** **72**:916–923, 2012
38. Han Y, Shi YF, Xi W, Zhou R, Tan ZB, Wang H, et al: Selective activation of cholinergic basal forebrain neurons induces immediate sleep-wake transitions. **Curr Biol** **24**:693–698, 2014
39. Harati A, Müller T: Neuropsychological effects of deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. **Surg Neurol Int** **4** (Suppl 6):S443–S447, 2013
40. Hassler R, Ore GD, Dieckmann G, Bricolo A, Dolce G: Behavioural and EEG arousal induced by stimulation of unspecific projection systems in a patient with post-traumatic apallia syndrome. **Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol** **27**:306–310, 1969
41. Heck CN, King-Stephens D, Massey AD, Nair DR, Jobst BC, Barkley GL, et al: Two-year seizure reduction in adults with medically intractable partial onset epilepsy treated with responsive neurostimulation: final results of the RNS System Pivotal trial. **Epilepsia** **55**:432–441, 2014
42. Heo JH, Lee KM, Paek SH, Kim MJ, Lee JY, Kim JY, et

- al: The effects of bilateral subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation (STN DBS) on cognition in Parkinson disease. **J Neurol Sci** 273:19–24, 2008
43. Irmak SO, de Lecea L: Basal forebrain cholinergic modulation of sleep transitions. **Sleep** 37:1941–1951, 2014
 44. Jain SV, Glauser TA: Effects of epilepsy treatments on sleep architecture and daytime sleepiness: an evidence-based review of objective sleep metrics. **Epilepsia** 55:26–37, 2014
 45. Kang G, Lowery MM: Effects of antidromic and orthodromic activation of STN afferent axons during DBS in Parkinson's disease: a simulation study. **Front Comput Neurosci** 8:32, 2014
 46. Karachi C, Grabli D, Bernard FA, Tandé D, Wattiez N, Belaid H, et al: Cholinergic mesencephalic neurons are involved in gait and postural disorders in Parkinson disease. **J Clin Invest** 120:2745–2754, 2010
 47. Kossoff EH, Ritzl EK, Politsky JM, Murro AM, Smith JR, Duckrow RB, et al: Effect of an external responsive neurostimulator on seizures and electrographic discharges during subdural electrode monitoring. **Epilepsia** 45:1560–1567, 2004
 48. Kovac S, Wright MA, Eriksson SH, Zrinzo L, Matharu M, Walker MC: The effect of posterior hypothalamus region deep brain stimulation on sleep. **Cephalalgia** 34:219–223, 2014
 49. Labar D, Dakov P, Kobylarz E, Nikolov B, Schwartz TH, Fisher S: Effects of responsive electrical brain stimulation on intracranial electroencephalogram spikes. **Neuromodulation** 16:355–362, 2013
 50. Lammi MH, Smith VH, Tate RL, Taylor CM: The minimal-ly conscious state and recovery potential: a follow-up study 2 to 5 years after traumatic brain injury. **Arch Phys Med Rehabil** 86:746–754, 2005
 51. Lin JS, Sakai K, Jouvet M: Hypothalamo-preoptic histaminergic projections in sleep-wake control in the cat. **Eur J Neurosci** 6:618–625, 1994
 52. Mair RG, Hembrook JR: Memory enhancement with event-related stimulation of the rostral intralaminar thalamic nuclei. **J Neurosci** 28:14293–14300, 2008
 53. Marzo A, Totah NK, Neves RM, Logothetis NK, Eschenko O: Unilateral electrical stimulation of rat locus coeruleus elicits bilateral response of norepinephrine neurons and sustained activation of medial prefrontal cortex. **J Neurophysiol** 111:2570–2588, 2014
 54. Massey CA, Sowers LP, Dlouhy BJ, Richerson GB: Mechanisms of sudden unexpected death in epilepsy: the pathway to prevention. **Nat Rev Neurol** 10:271–282, 2014
 55. Mazzzone P, Sposato S, Insola A, Scarnati E: The clinical effects of deep brain stimulation of the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus in movement disorders may not be related to the anatomical target, leads location, and setup of electrical stimulation. **Neurosurgery** 73:894–906, 2013
 56. McCarren HS, Chalifoux MR, Han B, Moore JT, Meng QC, Baron-Hionis N, et al: α 2-Adrenergic stimulation of the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus destabilizes the anesthetic state. **J Neurosci** 34:16385–16396, 2014
 57. McLardy T, Ervin F, Mark V, Scoville W, Sweet W: Attempted inset-electrodes-arousal from traumatic coma: neuropathological findings. **Trans Am Neurol Assoc** 93:25–30, 1968
 58. Moll CK, Sharott A, Hamel W, Münchau A, Buhmann C, Hidding U, et al: Waking up the brain: a case study of stimulation-induced wakeful unawareness during anaesthesia. **Prog Brain Res** 177:125–145, 2009
 59. Morita H, Hass CJ, Moro E, Sudhyadhom A, Kumar R, Okun MS: Pedunculopontine nucleus stimulation: where are we now and what needs to be done to move the field forward? **Front Neurosci** 5:243, 2014
 60. Morrell MJ: Responsive cortical stimulation for the treatment of medically intractable partial epilepsy. **Neurology** 77:1295–1304, 2011
 61. Moruzzi G, Magoun HW: Brain stem reticular formation and activation of the EEG. **Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol** 1:455–473, 1949
 62. Motelow JE, Li W, Zhan Q, Mishra AM, Sachdev RN, Liu G, et al: Decreased subcortical cholinergic arousal in focal seizures. **Neuron** 85:561–572, 2015
 63. Nishida N, Huang ZL, Mikuni N, Miura Y, Urade Y, Hashimoto N: Deep brain stimulation of the posterior hypothalamus activates the histaminergic system to exert anti-epileptic effect in rat pentylentetrazol model. **Exp Neurol** 205:132–144, 2007
 64. Nishino S, Tafti M, Reid MS, Shelton J, Siegel JM, Dement WC, et al: Muscle atonia is triggered by cholinergic stimulation of the basal forebrain: implication for the pathophysiology of canine narcolepsy. **J Neurosci** 15:4806–4814, 1995
 65. Norden AD, Blumenfeld H: The role of subcortical structures in human epilepsy. **Epilepsy Behav** 3:219–231, 2002
 66. Pahapill PA, Lozano AM: The pedunculopontine nucleus and Parkinson's disease. **Brain** 123:1767–1783, 2000
 67. Parmentier R, Ohtsu H, Djebbara-Hannas Z, Valatx JL, Watanabe T, Lin JS: Anatomical, physiological, and pharmacological characteristics of histidine decarboxylase knock-out mice: evidence for the role of brain histamine in behavioral and sleep-wake control. **J Neurosci** 22:7695–7711, 2002
 68. Peppe A, Pierantozzi M, Baiamonte V, Moschella V, Caltagirone C, Stanzione P, et al: Deep brain stimulation of pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus: role in sleep modulation in advanced Parkinson disease patients: one-year follow-up. **Sleep** 35:1637–1642, 2012
 69. Pillay S, Liu X, Baracskey P, Hudetz AG: Brainstem stimulation increases functional connectivity of basal forebrain-paralimbic network in isoflurane-anesthetized rats. **Brain Connect** 4:523–534, 2014
 70. Plum F, Posner JB: **The Diagnosis of Stupor and Coma**, ed 3. Philadelphia: FA Davis, 1982
 71. Rolston JD, Englot DJ, Wang DD, Shih T, Chang EF: Comparison of seizure control outcomes and the safety of vagus nerve, thalamic deep brain, and responsive neurostimulation: evidence from randomized controlled trials. **Neurosurg Focus** 32(3):E14, 2012
 72. Rye DB: Contributions of the pedunculopontine region to normal and altered REM sleep. **Sleep** 20:757–788, 1997
 73. Sander JW: The epidemiology of epilepsy revisited. **Curr Opin Neurol** 16:165–170, 2003
 74. Saper CB, Chou TC, Scammell TE: The sleep switch: hypothalamic control of sleep and wakefulness. **Trends Neurosci** 24:726–731, 2001
 75. Saper CB, Scammell TE, Lu J: Hypothalamic regulation of sleep and circadian rhythms. **Nature** 437:1257–1263, 2005
 76. Schiff ND: Central thalamic deep brain stimulation for support of forebrain arousal regulation in the minimally conscious state. **Handb Clin Neurol** 116:295–306, 2013
 77. Schiff ND, Giacino JT, Kalmar K, Victor JD, Baker K, Gerber M, et al: Behavioural improvements with thalamic stimulation after severe traumatic brain injury. **Nature** 448:600–603, 2007
 78. Shah SA, Schiff ND: Central thalamic deep brain stimulation for cognitive neuromodulation: a review of proposed mechanisms and investigational studies. **Eur J Neurosci** 32:1135–1144, 2010
 79. Shirvalkar P, Seth M, Schiff ND, Herrera DG: Cognitive enhancement with central thalamic electrical stimulation. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A** 103:17007–17012, 2006
 80. Solt K, Van Dort CJ, Chemali JJ, Taylor NE, Kenny JD,

- Brown EN: Electrical stimulation of the ventral tegmental area induces reanimation from general anesthesia. **Anesthesiology** 121:311–319, 2014
81. Stefani A, Peppe A, Galati S, Bassi MS, D'Angelo V, Pierantozzi M: The serendipity case of the pedunculopontine nucleus low-frequency brain stimulation: chasing a gait response, finding sleep, and cognition improvement. **Front Neurol** 4:68, 2013
 82. Sturm V, Kühner A, Schmitt HP, Assmus H, Stock G: Chronic electrical stimulation of the thalamic unspecific activating system in a patient with coma due to midbrain and upper brain stem infarction. **Acta Neurochir (Wien)** 47:235–244, 1979
 83. Tsubokawa T, Yamamoto T, Katayama Y, Hirayama T, Maejima S, Moriya T: Deep-brain stimulation in a persistent vegetative state: follow-up results and criteria for selection of candidates. **Brain Inj** 4:315–327, 1990
 84. Valentín A, Nguyen HQ, Skupenova AM, Agirre-Arrizubieta Z, Jewell S, Mullatti N, et al: Centromedian thalamic nuclei deep brain stimulation in refractory status epilepticus. **Brain Stimulat** 5:594–598, 2012
 85. Van der Werf YD, Witter MP, Groenewegen HJ: The intralaminar and midline nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional evidence for participation in processes of arousal and awareness. **Brain Res Brain Res Rev** 39:107–140, 2002
 86. Velasco F, Velasco M, Ogarrio C, Fanghanel G: Electrical stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus in the treatment of convulsive seizures: a preliminary report. **Epilepsia** 28:421–430, 1987
 87. Velasco F, Velasco M, Velasco AL, Jimenez F, Marquez I, Rise M: Electrical stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus in control of seizures: long-term studies. **Epilepsia** 36:63–71, 1995
 88. Velasco M, Velasco F, Velasco AL, Brito F, Jiménez F, Marquez I, et al: Electrocortical and behavioral responses produced by acute electrical stimulation of the human centromedian thalamic nucleus. **Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol** 102:461–471, 1997
 89. Velasco M, Velasco F, Velasco AL, Jiménez F, Brito F, Márquez I: Acute and chronic electrical stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus: modulation of reticulo-cortical systems and predictor factors for generalized seizure control. **Arch Med Res** 31:304–315, 2000
 90. Velasco M, Velasco F, Velasco AL, Luján M, Vázquez del Mercado J: Epileptiform EEG activities of the centromedian thalamic nuclei in patients with intractable partial motor, complex partial, and generalized seizures. **Epilepsia** 30:295–306, 1989
 91. Velasco M, Velasco F, Velasco AL, Velasco G, Jiménez F: Effect of chronic electrical stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nuclei on various intractable seizure patterns: II. Psychological performance and background EEG activity. **Epilepsia** 34:1065–1074, 1993
 92. Verner TA, Pilowsky PM, Goodchild AK: Retrograde projections to a discrete apneic site in the midline medulla oblongata of the rat. **Brain Res** 1208:128–136, 2008
 93. Vickrey BG, Berg AT, Sperling MR, Shinnar S, Langfitt JT, Bazil CW, et al: Relationships between seizure severity and health-related quality of life in refractory localization-related epilepsy. **Epilepsia** 41:760–764, 2000
 94. von Krosigk M, Bal T, McCormick DA: Cellular mechanisms of a synchronized oscillation in the thalamus. **Science** 261:361–364, 1993
 95. Westmoreland BF, Groover RV, Klass DW: Spontaneous sleep and induced arousal. A depth-electroencephalographic study. **J Neurol Sci** 28:353–360, 1976
 96. Whiting DM, Tomycz ND, Bailes J, de Jonge L, Lecoultré V, Wilent B, et al: Lateral hypothalamic area deep brain stimulation for refractory obesity: a pilot study with preliminary data on safety, body weight, and energy metabolism. **J Neurosurg** 119:56–63, 2013
 97. Wiebe S, Blume WT, Girvin JP, Eliasziw M: A randomized, controlled trial of surgery for temporal-lobe epilepsy. **N Engl J Med** 345:311–318, 2001
 98. Wu DC, Zhu-Ge ZB, Yu CY, Fang Q, Wang S, Jin CL, et al: Low-frequency stimulation of the tuberomammillary nucleus facilitates electrical amygdaloid-kindling acquisition in Sprague-Dawley rats. **Neurobiol Dis** 32:151–156, 2008
 99. Yamamoto T, Katayama Y, Obuchi T, Kobayashi K, Oshima H, Fukaya C: Deep brain stimulation and spinal cord stimulation for vegetative state and minimally conscious state. **World Neurosurg** 80:S30.e1–S30.e9, 2013
 100. Yamamoto T, Kobayashi K, Kasai M, Oshima H, Fukaya C, Katayama Y: DBS therapy for the vegetative state and minimally conscious state. **Acta Neurochir Suppl** 93:101–104, 2005
 101. York MK, Dulay M, Macias A, Levin HS, Grossman R, Simpson R, et al: Cognitive declines following bilateral subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. **J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry** 79:789–795, 2008
 102. Zangaglia R, Pacchetti C, Pasotti C, Mancini F, Servello D, Sinforiani E, et al: Deep brain stimulation and cognitive functions in Parkinson's disease: A three-year controlled study. **Mov Disord** 24:1621–1628, 2009
 103. Zhan Q, Buchanan G, Motelow J, Serout F, Chen W, Gummadavelli A, et al: Peri-ictal impairment of brainstem 5-HT neurons: Insight into depressed arousal, reduced ventilation and sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP), in **American Epilepsy Society 2014 Annual Meeting Program Book**. West Hartford, CT: AES, 2014, Poster #1.164

Author Contributions

Conception and design: Gummadavelli, Kundishora. Acquisition of data: Gummadavelli, Kundishora, Andrews. Analysis and interpretation of data: Gummadavelli, Kundishora, Andrews. Drafting the article: Gummadavelli, Kundishora, Willie, Andrews, Gerrard, Blumenfeld. Critically revising the article: Gummadavelli, Kundishora, Willie, Andrews, Gerrard, Blumenfeld. Reviewed submitted version of manuscript: all authors. Approved the final version of the manuscript on behalf of all authors: Gummadavelli. Administrative/technical/material support: Gummadavelli, Kundishora. Study supervision: Spencer, Blumenfeld.

Correspondence

Abhijeet Gummadavelli, Yale Department of Neurosurgery, 333 Cedar St., New Haven, CT 06520-8018. email: abhijeet.gummadavelli@yale.edu.